

Title: Narrowing the gap between eye care needs and service provision: the service-training nexus.

Publication : Joint issue, Human Resources for Health, Code E.62

Author: Keith Masnick

Degrees: M.Optom., M.B.A., M.P.H.

**Affiliation: School of Public Health and Community Medicine, University of New South Wales, Kensington
Australia.**

Postal address: Room 212, SPHCM, Samuels Building, UNSW, Kensington, N.S.W. Australia 2051

Email address: masnick@masnick.com.au

Phone +61-2-93851627

6 figures and 1 Endnote file included.

Submitted: November 2007

Abstract:

Introduction: The provision of eye care in the developing world has been constrained by the limited number of trained personnel and professional cultures. The use of personnel with specific but limited training as members of multidisciplinary teams has become increasingly important as health systems seek to extract better value from their investments in personnel. Greater positive action is required to secure more efficient allocation of roles and resources. The supply of professional health workers is a factor of the training system so it stands to reason that more cost effective, flexible and available education methods are needed. This paper presents a highly flexible competencies-based multiple entry and exit training system that matches and adapts training to the prevailing population and service needs and demands while lifting overall standards over time and highlights the areas of potential benefit.

Method: Literature surveys and interviews in all five continents were carried out. Based on this and my own experience, a competency based multiple entry and exit scheme for eye care in a developing country was derived, modeled and critically reviewed by interested parties in one particular country.

Results: The scheme was shown to be highly cost effective and readily adaptable to the anticipated eye care needs of the population. Eye care players in one selected country have commented favorably on the scheme.

Conclusion: The underlying principles used to derive this model can be applied to many eye care systems in many developing countries. The model can be used in other disciplines with similar constructs to eye care.

Key words: Health personnel training, competency, competencies, multiple entry and exit scheme, eye care systems, developing country, cost effectiveness

Introduction — a huge and growing burden

The world wide number of visually impaired persons using current WHO definitions is 161 million people (best corrected vision $\leq 6/18$) of whom 45 were blind (best corrected vision $\leq 3/60$). Of those blind, over 80% are aged over 50 and AMD is the most rapidly increasing cause of blindness in this group [1]. 75% of blindness occurs in non developed countries and Vision 2020 has estimated that 75% of that blindness is preventable [2]. If a legitimate alternative to the WHO standard is used (impairment is defined as presenting acuity of $\leq 6/12$ and blindness as presenting acuity of $\leq 6/60$) then impairment prevalence will increase by 65% [3]. An Australian study estimated the same change at almost 150% [4]. If the refractive blind at near were taken into account, this could add at least another 150 million people to the functionally blind [5].

The annual global Gross Domestic Loss (loss of GDP) from blindness and low vision runs into tens of billions of dollars [6]. In Australia, about 2% of all health DALYs are due to aged related vision disorders [7]. Unless very substantial improvement is made in the management of visual impairment, increases in the world's aged will impose further burdens of disability and economic loss.

The majority of eye care is of low to medium complexity with a very small probability of serious or life threatening conditions. Spectacle corrections and cataract removal account for most of the eye treatments. It has been shown in developing countries that the use of personnel with limited but appropriately specialized training results in high value outcomes and is very cost effective [8, 9]. Unfortunately the numbers of such personnel are small and training programs are not presently geared to the expansion of the supply at anything near the rate required to close the gap between need and provision of services.

The paper proposes a public eye care system for developing countries based on a service-staffing paradigm which permits an eye-care worker to move both 'upwards and 'sideways', reflecting increases in the worker's repertoire of competencies within one vocational stream or crossing over from

one vocational stream to another as health demands and occupational preferences change over time. A competencies-based modular training scheme which provides multiple entry and exit points directly matches the needs and structure of the overall service-staffing model and enables personnel to acquire the competencies required to benefit from the opportunities for career mobility. This flexible scheme will target deficiencies in eye care delivery with specifically trained personnel thereby providing a better balance between the opportunity costs of educating eye care personnel for all possibilities and cost-effectiveness of outcomes. The flexibility will reduce issues of over and under supply and utilization of personnel. While this model has been developed for Thailand which has a universal health care system, the principles should be applicable to most developing countries.

The eye care service-staffing matrix

Currently in both affluent and less affluent countries eye care activities - preventive care, screening, diagnosis and treatment – are principally in the hands of expensively trained medical personnel, optometrists, and a generally small cadre of eye care nurses/ophthalmic medical assistants. The training and career pathway for such personnel is typically ‘columnar’ as shown in **Error! Reference source not found.** and cross over from one column to another is extremely unlikely.

Throughout the world government health care systems generally conform to a four or five level institutional arrangement from local clinics to national hospitals. Within these stratified systems the posts for personnel with more or less exclusive responsibility for eye care can be classified into five vocational groups – (i) eye care clinical officers and medical assistants, and nurses, (ii) refractionists (iii) ophthalmologists/ophthalmic surgeons, (iv) orthoptists and (v) eye service administrative personnel. Individual personnel can often cover more than one group. In a few countries a sixth group is composed of cataract surgeons who, although they have completed specialized training in cataract surgery do not hold a ‘medical degree’ – they usually have completed formal training as nurses or clinical officers/medical assistants [8]. This workforce is augmented by general medical practitioners or other staff who perform primary eye care functions at the local clinic or health centre level – in less affluent countries these are typically general nurses and clinical officers/medical

assistants who include primary-level eye care within their diverse and wide ranging skills. Optometrists are, outside the USA and UK, noticeably absent from the public health system [10].

Error! Reference source not found. shows a typical basic eye care service-staffing pattern for a national government eye care service and **Error! Reference source not found.** the typical related training.

There are possible variations of this basic paradigm to meet the requirements and resources of particular local and national circumstances. In most countries the government eye care service is augmented by private sector activity. For example in larger towns and cities a medically qualified ophthalmologist or an optometrist may have established a full-time private practice or combine government employment with some private practice. In urban areas there are usually retail establishments offering eye testing and retailing spectacles and other eye care requisites, perhaps with staff making periodic forays to the surrounding rural areas seeking customers.

The training arrangements to produce personnel to fill the posts established within the matrix are now considered.

Training the eye care workforce

Underlying the proposed service staffing approach is the principle that eye care can be parceled into a number of discrete functional units, each containing the actions of one or more procedural tasks. For each functional unit a training module must be designed to enable trainees to learn to perform the component function or functions to a specific standard.

All eye care personnel will be required to have the competencies of some basic functional units, for example elementary visual acuity testing. Other modules will include competencies in more specialized tasks and their learning will be requisite for employment in particular positions

within the service-staffing matrix. For example an optometrist will need to be competent within the local scope of optometric practice [11] and a cataract surgeon will require competence in certain lens extraction and replacement techniques.

There is nothing new about a modular approach to the training of eye care personnel [12], or indeed of many other types of workers. In traditional training programs the modules are arranged in columns, each relating to a particular vocational category (see **Error! Reference source not found.**), and although some modules may be common to a number of columns, there is no sideways movement between the columns. Even the content of the common modules differ. For example, a refraction course in one module might take one year to complete whilst in another only a few days.

In the proposed training arrangements there will still generally be columnar arrangements and training for a particular vocational group will normally proceed vertically up the training column. But sideways movement from one column to another will be possible. The key to the sideways movement is that the whole training system is based on a universal set of competencies such that each occupation can be defined by its domains of competencies.

Movement between training columns is dependant on the type and level of training completed before entering specialized eye care training. For example, a person at any point in the eye care nurse training column in **Error! Reference source not found.** could move sideways to an appropriate point in the optometrist column to train as an optometrist, but neither could move into the ophthalmologist column without a bridging course of training to acquire the missing competencies. Despite this limitation, the shift from a strictly vertical vocational training-career approach to a system which permits sideways as well as upward progression provides markedly increased opportunities for career mobility. This mobility facilitates the relatively rapid production of particular categories of personnel currently in short supply and the gradual lifting of overall competency over time.

Training cataract surgeons

World wide, age related cataracts account for almost half of all non refractive visual impairment [13] and in Australia for example, cataract surgery represents something like 75 per cent of all major eye surgery [14].

Although cataract surgery is presently almost entirely in the hands of medically qualified ophthalmic surgeons, there is ample evidence that appropriately trained personnel can perform procedures within this category as effectively, efficiently and safely as ophthalmologists [8, 15, 16]. **Error! Reference source not found.** illustrates how the proposed modular training system facilitates the faster production of a cataract surgery cadre than the ten-plus years required for an ophthalmologist. For example an eye care nurse who has completed modules A1 to C1 would move over to commence module D 1 on the cataract surgeon training column and acquire the modules D1, D2 and J1. An optometrist would add the modules C1 and J1. In both cases the total additional training time for qualification as a cataract surgeon would be 1-1.5 years.

The multi-entry/multi exit (ME/ME) training system

The modular structure of the training system permits a range of choices as to entry, exit and then re-entry and exit within and between vocational training streams. **Error! Reference source not found.** shows in more detail how individuals entering the service-staffing matrix with different types and levels of prior experience may navigate their way through the matrix to reach posts which are appropriate to their developing interests and competence. For example a high school leaver who enters the training system and completes the stage 1 optometry module may enter the workforce as an entry level refractionist. She or he may subsequently decide to return to the training system to select and complete further modules which would qualify them for higher level posts within the refractionist/optometrist stream, or for posts in other streams within the matrix, for example cataract surgery. Alternatively, on re-entering training she or he may prepare for a career in, say, eye care service administration. This figure demonstrates some common paths that may be taken by people of differing pre-entry status.

Of particular note in this model is that the short training time for stage 1 optometry is designed to exit people with the capability of performing at acceptable level of refraction, optical mechanical skills and modest non refractive detection. The short course:

- Could be taught either intensely or part time and can include a distance learning component.
- Will quickly supply a large number of relatively trained personnel to refract, make spectacles and detect eye conditions at a low level.
- Will appeal to existing eye care workers most of whom have graduated from year 12 by easing them back into education.
- Will be reasonably inexpensive and highly cost effective
- Will produce graduates who are more likely, given the restricted training, to have modest ambitions and to stay locally.
- Will introduce a novel degree of specialized eye care at the lower end of health care in a way which is acceptable and affordable for the local community.

Optometry stages 2 to 4 are intended to supply personnel to the provincial and higher levels of the health service. Because the multi-entry/multi-exit training system is coupled to the service staffing matrix, the rate and direction of an individual's movement within the matrix is to some degree a matter of personal choice. However, there are restrictions. One is the availability of the modules in terms of time and place. Secondly, although a training place may become available, a person's decision to leave their current employment to undertake further training is necessarily shaped by practical considerations. Thirdly is the availability of employment opportunities within either the government or other service sectors upon completion of a training course.

The possible movement back and forth between working in the eye care service and spending time within the training system raises questions as to who provides income to the employee-turned-trainee and who meets the cost of training?

Before discussing other implications of implementing the proposed plan something must be said about the ‘competencies-basing’ of modules mentioned earlier.

Competency and competency based training

While the notion of modular training is relatively straight-forward and easily understood, there is a growing literature revolving around and sometimes obfuscating the meaning of such terms as competence, competency, the competent practitioner, the competent manager, “competency based curriculum” and “competence-based training”.

In the context of the eye care service and training approach proposed in this paper competency, or to be more precise a set of entry level competencies, is essentially gained from the teaching and learning of the knowledge, skills and behavior required to perform a specified task or set of tasks at specified standards of effectiveness and efficiency. **Error! Reference source not found.** compares the competencies-based training approach espoused in this proposed plan to other approaches.

A commonly voiced criticism of the competency approach is that the performance of complex tasks requires the interaction of a significant number of elements which cannot be reduced to objective assessment [17]. Thus some authors have suggested that medical diagnostics is an art and not a teachable science and that there may be many ways to the final conclusion [18, 19]. Since the concern here is the urgent expansion and staffing of a service which is currently grossly under-staffed in countries where training and other relevant resources are in short supply, the competency-based training approach delineated in **Error! Reference source not found.** is both appropriate and justifiable.

Implications of implementation

Improvement in services rarely comes without some costs. Some of the major implications of implementing the proposed plan are reviewed here starting with some of the financial implications.

a) Eye service-related costs – and benefits

Overall eye care service and training costs will inevitably increase as the volume of activities is increased. However, because of the greater efficiency of the service delivery resulting from changes in workforce mix and productivity, the increase will be less than simply expanding present service and training arrangements with no change in efficiency. How the additional costs will be met and the equity implications of the financing arrangements are matters beyond the scope of this paper. The additional expenditure has to be weighed against reduction in the huge and increasing Gross National Losses attributable to visual impairment.

b) The community at large

With expansion of preventive and early detection activities, one may anticipate some slowing down in the rates of incidence and progression of visual impairment in the population, although with the current backlog of unmet need and future demographic changes the impact of these primary level activities will not be immediately apparent. Despite the extra costs of the improved services rewards will manifest themselves in such terms as increased productivity, communal and individual well-being and reduced care resources.

c) Eye care delivery system structure and management

The relative public-private provision contributions vary very widely among countries [20]. The structure of government eye services within countries is generally hierarchical. Private services follow a pattern of private ophthalmology and private manufacture, import/export, wholesale/retail distribution of spectacles and other eye care appliances and equipment. It has to be said that the multi-entry/multi-exit arrangements proposed do require greater managerial and administrative activity. This has to be weighed against the benefits derived from increased staff motivation and performance.

d) The eye care workforce

Expansion of the eye care workforce is likely to occur in both the public and private sectors of eye care provision, but more particularly in the publicly-funded government sector. The mix of personnel within the eye care services will change with relatively larger increases in the numbers of eye care practitioners such as optometrists and non-medical cataract surgeons. The work pattern and productivity of the more skilled will be more specialized as the less complex case management becomes the responsibility of other appropriately trained personnel. Service expansion and the adoption of the proposed training and staffing offer wider training and employment opportunities and open up greater career path flexibility to personnel entering or already employed within the eye care delivery system.

e) The eye care personnel training system

Staffing the expanded services will entail increased commitment of resources to the training of personnel. Some adjustment in course structure and content will be required to implement the competencies based modular training. The performance standards on which modules are widely founded and a universal compendium of training syllabi, methods and materials can be developed and shared among training programs internationally. Additional training personnel will be required, and teacher training programs oriented to the use of the methods and materials of the modular programs. Practicing personnel will be required to play a larger role in training and in mentoring graduates from training programs.

Prospects for adoption and successful implementation of the proposed plan

Basic eye care is relatively low in direct cost; intervention is relatively simple and has generally a very favorable outcome. Recognizing the current and growing gap between the need for eye care and the provision of services, and acknowledging the heavy economic burden of visual impairment, one has to ask why in virtually all countries eye care services ranks relatively low on government lists of health care priorities.

As with so many similar questions relating to health service provision, part of the answer is that obtaining eye care is not a great personal problem for relatively affluent people who make or influence health related political decision making, nor is other people's visual impairment

thought of as a potential threat to their own well-being.

One has to say that this plan, because it promotes more efficient use of resources, may gain some acceptance in situations where government decision-makers are thinking of “doing something about improving eye care services” such as is occurring in Thailand where the model presented here is being favorably evaluated by both government and the existing ophthalmic community. Interprofessional disputes should not be allowed to constrain the supply of services and the use of common competencies and training should reduce such conflicts and increase interprofessional respect. The difference between demand and supply is so large in most developing countries that with everyone playing their part effectively, there will more than enough rewards for all. However, it will be so easy to perpetuate a laissez faire attitude rather than commit the very considerable additional resources required to significantly ‘narrow the gap’.

The proposals presented here are adaptable to existing eye care delivery systems (and even other similar health fields) in probably all countries in the world, but are more likely to be adopted in less affluent countries.

The cost of failure to ‘narrow the gap’ will be measurable in terms of increased gross domestic loss and the ever increasing personal burden of visual impairment.

Declaration of competing interests: none

Acknowledgements: I wish to thank John Dewdney for his guidance and assistance.

References:

1. Resnikoff S, Pascolini D, Etya'ale D, Kocur I, Pararajasegaram R, Pokharel G, Mariotti S: Global data on visual impairment in the year 2002. *Bulletin of WHO* 2004, 82:844-851.
2. Vision 2020: Vision 2020: a toolkit 2003
3. Dandona L, Dandona R: Revision of visual impairment definitions in the International Statistical Classification of Diseases. *BMC Medicine* 2006, 4:7.
4. VanNewkirk MR, Weih L, McCarty CA, Taylor HR: Cause-specific prevalence of bilateral visual impairment in Victoria, Australia *1: The visual impairment project. *Ophthalmology* 2001, 108:960-967.
5. Naidoo K: Director, ICEE Durban Near blindness (Personal Communication) 2006
6. Frick KD, Foster A: The magnitude and cost of global blindness: an increasing problem that can be alleviated. *American Journal of Ophthalmology* 2003, 135:471-476.
7. Mathers C, Vos T, Stephenson C: Burden of disease and injury in Australia. AIHW Cat. No. PHE-17 [<http://www.aihw.gov.au/publications/index.cfm/title/5180>] 1999
8. Vaz F, Bergstroem S, Vaz M, Langa J, Bugalho A: Training medical assistants for surgery. *Bull of WHO* 1999, 77:688-691.
9. McCourt W, Awases M: Addressing the human resources crisis: a case study of the Namibian health service. *Human Resources for Health* 2007, 5: .
10. Masnick K, Gavzey R: What is an optometrist? *Optom Vis Sci* 2004, 81:289-290.
11. World Council of Optometry: A global competency-based model of scope of practice in optometry. pp. 1-45. Pennsylvania: World Council of Optometry; 2004:1-45.
12. Naidoo K: Towards a new model in training and delivery of optometric education. *Optometric Education* 2000, 25:8-14.
13. WHO: State of the world's sight. Vision 2020: the right to sight 1999-2005. pp. 116. Geneva: WHO; 2005:116.
14. Health Insurance Commission: Ophthalmology Medicare Statistics 2002-6 [http://www.medicareaustralia.gov.au/statistics/dyn_mbs/forms/mbs_tab4.shtml] 2003
15. Doylo D: Using mid-level cadres as substitutes for internationally mobile health professionals in Africa. A desk review. *Human Resources for Health* 2004, 2:1-12.
16. Cox I: African Director CMB International Nairobi Doctor substitutes in East Africa (Personal Communication) 2004
17. Quillen DM: Challenges and pitfalls of developing and applying a competency-based curriculum. *Family Medicine* 2001, 33:652-654.
18. Rapezzi C, Ferrari R, Branzi A: White coats and fingerprints: diagnostic reasoning in medicine and investigative methods of fictional detectives. *BMJ* 2005, 331:1491-1494.
19. Smith AM: Doctors, detectives, and common sense. *BMJ* 2005, 331:1495-1497.
20. O'Donnell O, van Doorslaer E, Rannan-Eliya RP, Somanathan A, Adhikari SR, Harbianto D, Garg CC, Hanvoravongchai P, Huq MN, Karan A, Leung GIM, Ng CW, Pande BR, Tin K, Tisayaticom K, Trisnantoro L, Zhang Y, Zhao Y: The incidence of public spending on healthcare: comparative evidence from Asia. *World Bank Econ Rev* 2007:31.

Eye Care Training Modules					F2
					F1
				H2	E1
				H1	D2
				E1	D1
				C3	C3
				C2	C2
				C1	C1
			C1	B2	B3
			B3	B1	B2
			B2	A4	B1
		A3	A3	A3	A3
		A2	A2	A2	A2
		A1	A1	A1	A1
	Primary Eye Care Worker	Eye Care Nurse	Optometrist	Ophthalmologist	

Figure 1 Traditional modular eye care personnel training

Note: Although modules in different columns may have the same notation (e.g. C2=refraction), their content may differ.

Government Eye Care Service Post	Institutional Level				
	Local Clinic	Health Centre	District Hospital	Regional Hospital	National Hospital
Eye care nurse/clinical officer/medical assistant	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Refractionist or optometrist	No	Maybe **	Maybe **	Yes	Yes
Cataract surgeon	No	Maybe **	Maybe **	Maybe **	Maybe **
Ophthalmologist	No	No	Maybe **	Yes	Yes
Orthoptist or low vision worker	No	No	No	Maybe **	Yes
Other clinical personnel	Yes *	Yes *	Yes *	Yes *	Yes *
Eye care service management cadre	No	No	No	Yes	Yes

Figure 1 Basic eye care service staffing matrix.

*Other clinical personnel who perform some primary eye care e.g. general nurses, general clinical officers/medical assistants or general medical practitioners

**Trained personnel may be available at this level, perhaps on a visiting basis

Figure 1 Training and career pathways, eye care personnel – current career patterns in most countries

* Small number of places– generally regarded as preparation for a teaching or research career

** No or very limited formal training in eye care during internship

*** The time spent on eye care-related activities is typically a matter of days rather than weeks, months or years.

Activity	Training and career pathway			
	Eye care nursing/ophthalmic medical assistant practice	Optometry	General medical practice	Specialist ophthalmic practice
Post-graduate training in eye care	1-2 years	*	**	4-6 years
Pre-service training in eye care	Days***	3-5 years	Days***	Days***
Pre-eye care training	3-4 years general nurse training	Nil	4-6 years medical school training +/- 3-4 years non-medical undergraduate education	4-6 years medical school training +/- 3-4 years non-medical undergraduate education
	High school leaver			

Figure 1 Training flows in eye care showing training stage numbers and normal entry and exit points and sideways career movement possibilities (dashed lines)

Note 2. "Existing/Upgraded" means the applicant has or must acquire sufficient entry level education before entering the course

Note 3. A module's duration (shown in brackets) is a guide only. A full optometry course will take 4-5 years.

Exit Titles	
A Optometrist	G Eye Nurse
B Optometry technician (Level 3)	H Cataract surgeon
C Visual technician (Level 2)	I Ophthalmologist
D Refractionist (Level 1)	J Eye care administrator
E Senior Eye Nurse	K Orthoptist or low vision technician
F Refracting Eye Nurse	L Community eye care worker




Figure 1 The competencies-based training approach compared with some other approaches

	Competency-based training approach	Other Approaches
Orientation	Focus on actions	Broader orientation with emphasis on education rather than training
Assessment of students	Demonstration of task performance against specific objective standards	Assessment of knowledge against that of other students or against examiners (often subjective) standards
Standards specifications	Explicit, written, objective standards of performance	Generally less well specified, with considerable subjective elements
Curriculum development	By experienced practitioners assisted by educationists/trainers	Academic and educationalists, with or without some practitioner inputs

Additional files provided with this submission:

Additional file 1: masnick endnote narrow the gap.zip, 17K

<http://www.human-resources-health.com/imedia/6290312022420980/supp1.zip>