

Iranian staff nurses' views of their productivity and human resource factors improving and impeding it:

A Qualitative Study¹

- ❖ Nahid Dehghan Nayeri² – Ph. D student and Faculty Member of Nursing, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
- ❖ Ali Akbar Nazari³ - Ph.D, Assistant professor, Faculty of Nursing, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
- ❖ Mahvash Salsali⁴ -PhD, Associate Professor, Faculty of Nursing, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
- ❖ Fazlollah Ahmadi⁵ - Ph.D, Assistant professor, Faculty of medical, Tarbyat Modarres University, Tehran, Iran.

¹This paper is a part of thesis that is accomplished in Nursing Faculty of Tehran Medical University

² The Corresponding Author (PhD student in Tehran Medical University) - Email address: Nahidp77@yahoo.com

³ Nazary_ali@yahoo.com

⁴ m_salsali@hotmail.com

⁵ Fazlollaha@yahoo.com

Iranian staff nurses' views of their productivity and human resource factors improving and impeding it: A Qualitative Study

Abstract

Background

Nurses as the largest human resource element of health care systems have a major role in providing an on-going quality care to patients. Productivity is a significant indicator of professional development within any professional group including nurses. The human resource element has been identified as the most important factor affecting it. This research aimed to explore nurses perceptions and experiences of productivity and human resource factors improving or impeding it.

Method

A qualitative approach was used to obtain rich data. Also open semi-structured interviews were conducted. The sampling was based on maximum variant approach and data analysis was carried out by content analysis with constant comparative method.

Results:

Participants indicated that human resources **are** the most important factors that can promote or impede their productivity. They suggested that systematic evaluation of staff numbers, sound selection process based on verifiable criteria and provision of adequate staffing level throughout the year, full involvement of ward sister in patients' admission process as well as sound communication within the care team are the factors influencing effectiveness of human resource elements. Observing these factors create a suitable background for improved productivity and decreases negative impacts of human resource shortages, whereas breaching these would result in lowering of nurses' productivity.

Conclusion

Participants maintained that satisfactory human resources can improve nurses' productivity and the quality of care they provide; thereby fulfilling the core objective of the health care system.

Keyword: Nurses Productivity, Human Resource, Qualitative Research, Content Analysis.

Background

In most health care organizations, nurses are often the largest work group and play a major role in their organization success. Hence nurses' productivity affects organization's success by influencing organizational total factor productivity (TFP) [1]. Health care organizations can not succeed without productive nursing staff [2]. However recent studies suggest that nurses no longer feel their work is valued and are concerned with their productivity[3].

Although nurses are concerned about declining levels of effective care and productivity[4], staff productivity rarely has been assessed within the health care organization of Iran and little is known about factors that affect nurses' productivity. The complexity associated with the impact of human resources on nurses' productivity is one of the factors least studied.

Managers' efforts to promote productivity have been mostly ineffective and resulting in too many changes, personnel's frustration, without improving patients care [5]. Assessing nurses' viewpoint on productivity should form the first basic step towards improving nurses' productivity.

Theoretical and Empirical Approaches to Productivity

Productivity is defined as the ratio of outputs to inputs or as the relationship between inputs and outputs. McConnell suggested that comparing output to input was similar to comparing 'apples with oranges', because the two are often so different. [6],

In light of traditional economic definitions of productivity as the numeric cost ratio of outputs to inputs, administrators in health care have focused on quantifying nurses' work in economic input-output terms[7]. Within the discipline of nursing ,some viewed nurse productivity as a measure of the efficiency with which the input of nursing tasks and other laborers' tasks, materials, and equipment were converted into goods and services delivered within the health care system.[3, 7].

Also nursing productivity was defined as equilibrium between demand for and the supply of services and managing cost structure of a system by integration of financial and clinical processes and providing good care in a cost-effective manner. Some researcher defined productivity as managing staffing (depending on census and patient acuity) to meet budgeted standards [6]. Others viewed nurse productivity as the ratio of output produced compared with resources consumed and proposed that nurse productivity can be measured at the hospital unit, departmental, or divisional levels[7, 8].

Employee productivity was also measured by hours of care/day and salary dollars/procedure. Sometimes acuity also was factored in. Productivity was also measured by budgetary standards set by organizations, or through community or national norms. Recently, in organizational redesign strategies, productivity measures have been set by measuring the time necessary to do each job, and incorporating acuity standards [5].

Another measure of nurse productivity was become prevalent and is still used today by many hospitals is the popular "hours per patient day" (HPPD) unit of measure for nurse productivity[7, 9]. sometimes Nursing care hours were further delineated into direct and indirect care hours, and sometimes focused on costs[6]

Despite the fact that term nursing productivity is an old concept used daily by nurse executives, there is little clarity and consistency in how it is used [6]. Also these different definitions of productivity lead to incomparable measurement [5]. In a nursing context, productivity has been used exclusively in terms of resources used - based on economic theories of productivity- whilst certain significant outcomes of nursing practice have not been considered [10, 11]. In addition emphasis of economic theories of productivity was on measuring administrative systems rather than on what was accomplished [11] . In business literature and research, productivity has been usually discussed in terms of hypothetical variables that could improve the outcome.

For instance researchers (1993) reported that employees with higher levels of job satisfaction and skills directly relating to their jobs had significantly higher productivity ratings than their coworkers [12]. Another study revealed that practices such as performance appraisal had the strong effect on productivity [13]. In addition, training programs for new employee increased their productivity [14]. A number of researchers (McCloskey and McCain 1988, McNeese Smith 1995, 1996) have assessed factors affecting nurses' productivity [15-17] , while Grosskopf, Margaritis and Valdmanis (2001) evaluated effects of teaching on hospital productivity [18]. Hall (2003) assessed contribution of knowledge and skill, and factors such as organizational trust and commitment on nursing productivity [3]. Curtin (1995) described how patient classification could be used to improve staff productivity [11]. However a concept analysis done by Holcomb, Hoffart and Fox (2002) revealed the complexity of the concept and its measurement [6]. Even though it is essential to understand care providers views about productivity, only one study (McNeese Smith 2001) examined how nurses viewed their own productivity in detail.

However, no studies have examined about nurses' productivity in Iran and findings of the studies undertaken in other countries may not be directly applied to the Iranian context due to significant cultural and socioeconomic differences.

Identifying factors which affect productivity from nurses' viewpoint lead to an understanding of how to best improve productivity. Therefore, this study aimed to highlight nurses' perception of their own productivity and factors affecting it. It attempted to address questions such as "what is nurses' perceptions of their own productivity"? "Which factors improve or diminish nurses' productivity"?

To address the former questions a qualitative methodology was adopted. It was considered that this was the most suitable approach to address the complexities of the subject of this study which a quantitative approach may fail to fully elucidate. A qualitative approach was used as an important and essential first step in understanding the participants' insider view and their perceptions. This method resulted in a set of themes and categories about the perceived productivity and human resource elements aiding or impeding nurses' productivity.

Method

Semi-structured interviews were used to gather data covering nurses' perception of their own productivity and factors influencing it. This approach provides understanding of any phenomena at a deeper and richer level that could not be reached through a quantitative approach [19]. Content analysis has been applied to transcriptions and interviews for the purpose of understanding human behaviors within various contexts as it is an unobtrusive means of analyzing interactions and provides insight into complex models of human thought [21].

In the current study, the content analysis method was utilized to identify categories and subcategories in participants' descriptions. [Qualitative content analysis elicits contextual meaning in context through the development of emerging themes. This method consisted of identifying, coding and summarizing the concepts and themes, consistent with established qualitative data analysis methods \[20\]. Also this is a method that uses a set of categorization procedures for making valid and replicable inferences from data \(text or images\) to their context.](#) Researchers analyzed the presence, meanings and relationships between words and concepts, and subsequently made inferences about the messages within the texts.

This method was particularly suitable for productivity, as the outcome of social interactions lends itself to content analysis of the core aspects of social interaction. Furthermore, this method allowed a closeness to text which can alternate between specific categories and relationships.

This method enabled us to explore rich data and allowed an interpretive understanding of what was going on.

Sampling and Data Gathering

Sampling was targeted based on a set of predetermined criteria. For instance, in the current study, the researchers made preliminary sampling decisions to recruit staff with a minimum of 5 years nursing experience working in hospitals affiliated to Tehran University. It was considered that the participants would have sufficient work experience enabling them to analyze factors affecting their productivity and its process. The sampling was based on maximum variant approach.

Sampling started with a nurse with 29 years experience and then extended to other nurses, manager or supervisor in the same or other teaching hospitals. The setting was education hospitals affiliated to Tehran Medical University.

In this study data collection and analysis proceeded concurrently with the development of themes that are related to the reality of the nurses' productivity. Sampling continued until saturation was reached. This occurs when no new categories or subcategories emerge [22].

Participants and Interviews

Initially, the researcher contacted each of the potential participants and explained the objectives of the study. If they agreed to take part in the research, interview time and setting were arranged. Semi structured interviews, based on clear guidelines, were usually carried out in a rest room on the ward. Participants were initially asked to describe their experience of a typical working day. They were subsequently asked about their perception of nurses' productivity, asking them to highlight some of their experiences where they had felt productive as a nurse and factors which impact positively or negatively on their productivity. Each interview was approached individually, guided by participants' responses whilst covering the core research questions. Selection criteria was staff with more than five years of nursing experience who worked full-time

in hospitals covered by the Ministry of Health and Medical Education in Tehran, Iran. Any nurse who met this qualification was considered a potential participant.

A total of 26 participants in various positions were interviewed. Interview sessions lasted between 30 minutes to 70 minutes. Interviewing continued on all shifts, over a three months period, until the information from the [last four interviews](#) with new participants was becoming repetitious, thus saturation of the data seemed to have been achieved.

Ethical Considerations

This study formed a part of a PhD thesis in Tehran Medical Science University. The ethics committee of the University reviewed and corroborated its ethical considerations. All the participants were informed of the purpose and design of the study, and that their participation was voluntary and would be treated with confidentiality. Participants were asked to sign a written informed consent prior to taking part in the study. Audio-taped, semi-structured interviews were conducted in private rooms and solely by the researcher. In addition, permission was obtained from hospital directors and head nurses for the nurses to be interviewed in their work setting. They were assured that they can cease participating in the study at any point.

Data Analysis

All interviews were audio-taped. Analysis of data was based on overall impression, reading and re-reading of transcripts. [Analysis consisted of identifying, coding and summarizing the concepts and themes, consistent with established qualitative data analysis methods \[20\]](#). Data was analyzed by constant comparative analysis method and coding process. Tapes were transcribed and data were broken down into discrete parts and codes were noted next to phrases, words or comments in the text. Then codes were sorted into categories and redefined into further focused categories.

All data were grouped within the categories according to their 'fit'. When required, researcher returned to the field to extend categories. For instance, some of the interviews and codes indicated that education process has a significant impact on the group's productivity, and subsequent sampling focused on this factor. [Also some of the interviews and codes indicated that nurse managers –especially supervisors and matrons- have a significant impact on the group's productivity through adopt appropriate qualitative and quantitative strategies to address staffing](#)

issues. In addition to they have value experience about group productivity. They worked as a nurse in the wards before their management post. Throughout the process the researcher investigated the causal conditions arising from the data.

Validity and Reliability

To maintain trustworthiness of the conclusions, Lincoln and Guba's four criteria were used. This in quantitative research is equivalent to empirical positivistic criteria (validity and reliability). The four trustworthiness criteria are credibility, confirm ability, transferability and dependability [22].

Credibility was enhanced through prolonged engagement with participants, the achievement of saturation, sampling approach (maximum variant sampling). In particular, participants' revision of coding -member check- supported credibility. After data analysis participants were provided a complete transcription of their coded interviews with emergent themes. They verified whether the codes and themes are matched their answers. Maximum variant sampling also validated the confirm ability of data.

Also reliability of study results was further enhanced when other researchers in the field were asked to review the interviews and the coding process. They were three expert supervisors and two other faculty members experienced in qualitative research. They checked a large amount of the transcripts of interviews and coded them. There was close agreement (90% or higher) between the resultant coding achieved by a number of researchers.

Furthermore, the results were conferred with some nurses who were not taking part in the research who confirmed the soundness, fitness and transferability of the results. This confirmed transferability of results.

Results

Data saturation was reached with 26 participants. Twenty-four of the participants were female, 30 to 56 years of age. All of them had bachelors or master degrees. They had between 5 and 29 years of work experience with the mean of 17 Years. Participants were clinical nurses, head nurses, supervisors, nurse managers, and nursing educators.

The findings of this study indicate that human resource is the most important factor that affects nurses' productivity. Other factors included managers' role, organizational structure and culture, social factors, financial security, and the nature of the nursing work undertaken.

In this paper, we have discussed two categories – human resource and productivity that emerged from content analysis. Human resource category included several subcategories. Categories and subcategories of nurse productivity that emerged are reported, together with certain extracts from the interviews to highlight nurses' experiences and the manner in which it has been communicated.

To be productive

Participants defined their productivity from different perspectives. Although participants used different terms and they were in various positions, they were referring to the same concept and themes that were highlighted in almost all interviews. For instance while some used the term “usefulness” others chose terms such as “effectiveness”, “being efficient”, “providing high quality care” and “being at the bedside and providing good care” to refer to productivity. We classify these words into two categories: quantitative aspect, which is equivalent to efficiency, and qualitative feature that is equivalent to effectiveness. Most of the participants referred to productivity as a qualitative feature, while some of them implied both quantitative and qualitative aspects. In certain cases, participants referred to productivity based on prerequisites or outcomes relating to productivity . However, majority of the participants in the current study considered productivity as being equivalent to “helpfulness, effectiveness for patients and providing high quality care”. Therefore, productivity in nurses' opinion means to be effective for the patients and that they feel they have high productivity when “they focus on taking care of patients”. Table 1 show productivity from Iranian nurses view.

One of the participants stated, “In my opinion, our productivity is increased when we can provide our clients skilled care as well as providing a condition in which the patients are treated and possibly regain their full health” (a first nurse). A staff nurse described “I feel productive when giving good care and meeting their needs ".another nurse explained a sense of productivity "when my patients feel satisfied at the end of the shift"

Most of the participants believed that nurses do not have high productivity and cited a number of reasons for this, others stated that under present conditions, nurses could not be expected to do a

lot more. One of the participants stated, “I think considering what we studied and what is expected of us, nurses productivity is about 20 %”(a head nurse). She added that nurses’ energy was mostly spent on administrative tasks, which has an adverse impact on their productivity and effectiveness for patients.

Thus from participants viewpoint, existing productivity was affected by lack of resources specially shortage of experienced personnel as well as having to perform “non-nursing” administrative tasks.

Nurses in this study also believed that lack of equipment, shortage of personnel, and disproportionate nurse to patient ratio have negative effects on productivity. For example one participant stated, “Considering the existing facilities and very high numbers of patients, nurses could even be considered to have high productivity levels compared with other professionals, but if compared with developed countries, nurses’ productivity in Iran is poor” (a nurse with 20 years of experience). Overall a range of factors especially shortage of nurses, workload and inexperienced personnel all have a negative impact on nurses’ productivity.

Nurses believed that they utilize only 15-20% of their productivity as they have to perform certain duties which they actually should not do. That is to say 80% of their productivity is wasted as it is not directed to patient care.

One of the participants with high clinical, management and nursing education experience referred to unfavorable conditions for nurses stating “Productivity promotion requires certain conditions of which even the minimum do not exist for Iranian nurses. Even though the number of nurses is probably 30%-50% of the minimum required, but the expectations are very high.” Finally participants believed that most of the factors which hinder their productivity can be altered by managers through modification of human resource elements.

Human Resource

The participants most frequently referred to human resource elements, and believed it to be the most important factor affecting productivity. Although the impacts of such factors is widely known by participants - managers and nurses- alike, they believed that human resource impediments are still widely prevalent in all spheres of their work. The subcategories of human resources are:

personnel needs and nurses staffing, staff expertise and experience, work coordination and team work, and Effects of present staffing.

- ***personnel needs and Nurses staffing***

In participants view, one of factors facilitates achievement of higher productivity is appropriate staffing level. They believe this should be assessed in the context of nurses functions and role within the system. It is only possible to evaluate the appropriate staffing requirements if nursing workload, patient needs and their required care and the level of “non-nursing” administrative tasks are fully considered. Participants stated that all the latter factors need to be considered, if the correct staffing levels are to be achieved and personnel shortage and excessive workloads are to be avoided. A supervisor stated “nursing work load are not assessed systemic, decision makers only consider routine tasks. Our hospitals put additional demands on nursing staff from direct patient care to voluminous clinical and medical documentation... but these are not assessed when estimate personnel needs for wards”.

Whilst one of factors facilitates achievement of higher productivity is appropriate staffing level, participants believed in a majority of cases the wards are facing shortage of professional and assistant nurses. As one surgical nurse said " we are usually two nurses for 35 patients, without doubt we cannot supply a high-quality care and to be productive”. Another nurse said “we can only give the drugs and monitor the vital sign ... a routine work, we can not provide effective care because there is so much work”. One of general nurses said “I don’t feel productive when it is too busy and work overload, and I can't help all my patients”. Another nurse said “I know that we don't have the time to do all cares correctly”.

Participants believed this shortage is in turn due to a non-systematic approach, which fails to fully take into account factors such as physical structure of the ward, facilities, nurses’ experience and their skills, nature of the care patients require and admission procedures as well as circumstance of other hospital services such as pharmacy and catering section. Participants stated that all of these factors need to be considered, if the correct staffing levels are to be achieved and personnel shortage and excessive workloads are to be avoided. For instance one of the participants highlighted the impact of the physical structure of the unit which has an impact on the number of required personnel. She stated “This unit has a very long corridor. If you are at one end of the unit and need something, you have to walk a long distance to reach nursing station and this affects the number of required personnel” (First nurse of a surgery ward).

Nurses cited numerous experiences highlighting shortcomings for patients and the nursing staff alike resulting from shortages of human resources. For instance, one stated, "There have been situations in which we have had three ICU cases, three cases of bed sore and patients needing tube feeding and suctioning. Sometimes, we have to spend one hour on a patient's dressing. In these circumstances, how can nurses provide adequate care as and when needed? When one has to complete work which requires four members of staff, this invariably gives rise to immense stress". another participant said: "The pressure of work, overloading of responsibilities made nurses so busy that they seldom have enough time to be productive, that is we can not provide an excellent care".

Personnel shortage has also resulted in patients' care being delegated to non-nursing personnel and patients' family who probably lack sufficient skills and finally this will be resulted in a decline in productivity, quality of care and patients dissatisfaction. One participant said "we give patient s' care to their family because we can not meet all of patient needs...we seldom have enough time ... we are very busy"

In participants views, lack of staff replacement cover in cases where personnel are on leave or when staff have completed their Free Education Compensation Period (FECp) as well as periods when no FECp persons is referred to hospitals are other factors which increase staff work pressures. Furthermore, a reluctance of FECp personnel to work in centers with no NET is another parameter that exasperates hospitals staff shortages and increases the workload for the existing staff.

Staffing shortages include designation of nurses to nursing roles not in line with their qualification or nurses working in other sections restricts appropriate human resource distribution resulting in low nursing productivity and inappropriate patients care. One nurse manager stated, "Our NETs work everywhere (pharmacy etc.) except as a nurse on the hospital ward, but when assessing the staffing levels within the wards, they are included nursing statistic".

selection procedures : As nursing aims to serve the public it needs active and motivated personnel. Correct selection of nurses and nursing students can promote nurses productivity according to respondents. In participants views, selection of capable nurses is only possible through setting proper selection procedures and adhering to it in order to ensure nurses are selected based on the merit. One of the supervisors stated, "Employment regulations should be

closely adhered to but here the people who perform the selection process are by no means capable.”

▪ ***Staff Expertise and Experience***

Participants cited nursing personnel’s skill and experience as one of the factors resulting in productivity improvement. Also orientation education and working of newly qualified staff alongside the experienced personnel are two important elements aiding nursing team productivity, in participants viewpoint. However Nevertheless participants, especially the managers have pointed out to shortcoming in this respect and they believe that staff lack of knowledge is a significant barrier to being productive and provide a high quality care.

They cited application of knowledge and skill in special wards as the symbol of the effective care. They believed that they were more productive in such wards for this reason alone.

Participants, especially in managing position argued that employing recently graduated staff could diminish the overall system’s quality because of inadequate experience. One participant stated “All shifts were covered by newly graduated personnel who are novices and inexperienced. It takes them so long to adjust and learn clinical work while, and the patient suffers the consequences of the shortcomings” (a manager of a pediatrics hospital).

Participants believed hiring newly graduated staff who have little experience and skill, a high ratio of these staff compared to experienced personnel and frequent personnel turnover are amongst factors that lead to low overall skill and experience level within the ward. They believed these factors contribute to increased pressure on the nurses and managers, and ultimately leads to complications for the patients. One participant commented "It is not only the number of staff that has an impact on productivity but that skill and experience of the personnel also play an important role"(A staff nurse). Another participant stated “All shifts were covered by newly graduated personnel who are novices and inexperienced. It takes them so long to adjust and learn clinical work while, and the patient suffers the consequences of the shortcomings” (a manager of a pediatrics hospital).

Participants claimed that permanent employment was a significant positive factor contributing to increased skill, experience and a more responsible approach towards patients, on the organization as a whole leads to improved productivity.

Nurses have frequently mentioned the positive effects of knowledge, skill and experience on productivity and believe that staff lack of knowledge is a significant barrier to being productive and provide a high quality care. They cited application of knowledge and skill in special wards

as the symbol of the effective care. They believed that they were more productive in such wards for this reason alone.

- ***Work coordination and Team work***

In participants viewpoints, not only a systematic assessment of human resource requirements is lacking, but one of the factors impeding productivity and effective care is a lack of coordination among different workgroups. A non-systematic patient admission creates an imbalance in the nurse/patient ratio within the ward. Informants believed that this is caused by a lack of harmony between the physicians and hospital managers as well as non-coordinated patients transfer from other hospitals and emergency centre. One participant said "As a result of non-coordinated patients transfer from other hospitals, our ICU beds are 110% occupied; while our staff are sufficient for 80 – 90% occupied" (An infants supervisor).

In addition to the number of personnel, informants believe that appropriate communication between team members is an important factor contributing to their productivity. Effective communication can improve group performance; reduce the effect of excessive work load caused by personnel shortage, tolerance levels and coordination within the ward resulting in higher productivity. Participants frequently expressed their experiences of close relationship prominently with managers, which resulted in higher productivity. For instance one participant believed, "There should be strong relationships between the personnel in a trusting environment. This would enable staff to discuss and address any possible errors at an early stage to avoid further damage" (a first nurse of a unit). Another nurse stated "We worked under difficult conditions within the emergency unit but sound working relations between personnel and in particular with the unit manager enabled us to work effectively." However, participants believed shortage of staff and a heavy workload can have a negative effect on interpersonal relations.

- ***Effects of present staffing***

Participants believed that shortage of nursing staff has a negative impact both on the productivity of the nurses and the effectiveness of care. Moreover, this leads to certain significant care procedures being overlooked, increased errors and necessitates involving unsuitable staff or patients' friend or family member in the care process. This will not only result in a lower quality of care and patients' dissatisfaction, but also over a period of time excessive work pressure and undertaking a range of duties results in nurses loss of knowledge and motivation, exhaustion, burnout, severe stress and eventually leads to staff leaving the profession.

Personnel shortage hinders effective management processes such as comprehensive personnel performance appraisal which is a prerequisite of improving productivity and patients' care. In this context, a head nurse commented, "If I criticize the quality of nursing care they respond by pointing out that there is merely one nurse for every twenty patients. They obviously have a point, and I have to overlook certain shortcomings".

Staff shortage impedes nurses' productivity directly and indirectly and creates a difficulty for both patients and nurses. Participants stated that staff not practicing learned principles, not providing sound nursing care, overlooking patients' education and effective communication with patients, not assessing the patients' condition and not solving patients' problems are all attributable to staff shortage. In addition participants have stated that providing merely routine patient care devoid of attention to individual needs, increased risk of practical errors and sometimes even being unaware of the presence of certain patients' within the ward until their discharge are all due to personnel shortage and unsuitable human resource.

The informants believed that personnel shortage not only adversely affects patients care, but also over a period of time excessive work pressure and undertaking a range of duties results in nurses loss of knowledge and motivation, exhaustion, burnout, severe stress and eventually leads to staff leaving the profession. One of the participants said "It gives us a sense of burnout... masses of things you constantly feel you should be doing, looking after the patient, you have to do the documentation. It is a high pressure. I don't sense I am productive, I think I am burnout, tired".

However all the participants believed that appropriate staffing level is the most important factor facilitating their productivity. When asked how to improve productivity, all the participants indicated that provision of human resource to a high standard in all nursing ranks up a swell as proper personnel planning and organizing would enhance productivity. One stated "One way to ensure the care is accomplished properly and completely is to have adequate staff" (First staff of a ward). Also participants believed that most of the factors which hinder their productivity can be altered by managers through modification of human resource elements.

Ultimately participants believed that achieving increased productivity requires certain apt conditions, but that the present circumstances lack even minimum criteria and inappropriate human resource pattern is a major obstacle in this respect. One stated "When talking about productivity of a group certain basic conditions should be in place before they could be expected to perform to the required standard. Currently only one nurse is assigned to care for five patients

in ICU whereas they allocate three nurses for two or three beds in other countries.” (A participant with clinical and management experience)

Discussion

In this study, nurses described productivity as "to be effective for the patients" and that they feel they have high productivity when “focus on taking care of patients”.

The finding of this research has been presented in a diagram. The concepts within this diagram include systemic evaluation of staff numbers required, appropriate adequate and regulated selection process, supply staff of different ranks, patients admission coordinated with the head nurse, and appropriate working relations within teams. Adhering to these themes on the whole create potential conditions for increased productivity which in turn reduces negative impact of inappropriate human resource provision.

The findings of this study indicated that productivity from nurses’ perspective differs from the adopted models suggested by industry and economy which focus on output rather than outcome. The output is amount produced from input. This is a quantitative fragment but outcome is all of results of a work process.

The key point here is the fact that although productivity from an administrative organizational perspective is represented by cost-effectiveness, nurses often assess their own productivity by quality of care provided to patients. This fundamental difference between nurses’ perception and that of managers results in different assessments. In this research study nurses believe that to improve productivity there should be adequate and qualified human resource while organizations consider increased productivity in terms of limiting permanent employment, imposing compulsory overtime with least financial benefit to them, hiring FECP staff and decreased cost. Even though productivity has so far been considered by organizations and managers from a quantitative perspective (efficiency), nurses often emphasized the qualitative dimension and their own effectiveness. Participants consider productivity in the context of the whole care process and its outcome. This gap reflects the different perspective of those who decide nursing budgets and often are not nurses themselves and that of nurses who are in direct contact with the patients. Although for organization’s managers the logic of cost efficiency is prevailing, for nurses providing high quality care is the major goal which not only results in patients self-sufficiency and their return to full health but that it promotes the profile of the nursing profession as a whole.

Accordance to results of this research management experts believe that Methodology of staffing is a systemic process which is utilized to evaluate the exact numbers and type of personnel needed to provide the standard care in an organization [23]. Researchers believed although many staffing studies use various methods to quantify the volume of tasks that nurses must provide for patients, few consider the impact of non-staffing elements on nurses. They did not consider nursing work context as a system. Nurses do not care for patients in isolation but within complex organizations that make great demands on them [4]. Analysis of nurses work structure based on 100,000 individual observations in two hospitals over a seven year period revealed that about two thirds of nurses' time was spent on indirect care and merely a third is dedicated to direct care[8].

Also researchers believed that nurses' work load is dictated by factors such as nursing standards, nurses' experience and skill, organizational strategies and procedures, available equipment and the activities of other members within the health care team [24]. These are accordance to results of this research.

Former studies have shown patterns and personnel combinations as well as skill combinations and education levels of the personnel positively affect productivity [25] and the fact that higher ratio of experienced nurses' decrease hospital operational costs [26]. Researchers believe chief executives and their management team can influence productivity by hiring skilled, industrious and smart people [27]. Hall (2003) concluded that the future of nursing depends on the knowledge and skill of the nursing workforce and the availability of adequate numbers of nurses for the health care sector [3]. They are agreement to result of this research that confirmed Staff Expertise and work experience positively affect productivity.

Hall (2003) has stated that since individuals differ in intelligence, skills, motivation and personality it is essential that organizations determining what qualities they are seeking when recruiting new staff to ensure that they can function effectively within the organization[3]. Accordance to results of this research, Huber (2000) also believed that planning and staffing levels affect nurses' career and work conditions, work load, personal life and their morale. Also she confirmed security and quality of care to the clients are affected by staffing [28].

Work coordination and team work is one of themes emerged from data. Houser (2003) demonstrated that effective team work and higher expertise can have a major influence on the outcome of patients care[4]. Curtin research findings showed that the relationship between team

work and prevention of complications [11]. Also previous research suggest that the quality of communication among disciplines is important to positive outcome achievement [29].

Another finding of this study was that nurses cannot consider themselves productive enough as a result of human resource shortages and that they are concerned about the quality of care provided to patients. Smiths finding (2001) also confirmed the latter results[5].

Conclusion

This study revealed nurses' views of productivity and **human resource factors improving and impeding it** . Nurses are fully aware of the importance of quality dimension of productivity and factors contributing to it. Since the goal of productivity is to promote an adequate and satisfactory level of nursing care acceptable by patients, nurses and physicians [30] . If these findings can contribute to nurses and managers improved productivity not only the patients will benefit it also improves nurses quality of work life. The other feature of productivity improvement are its positive effect on attitude of patients, personnel, managers, nurses' job satisfaction, improved morale and budget control.

Managers who are aware of nurses' viewpoints would be able to create conditions to achieve higher productivity levels. The findings are also beneficial to managers enabling them to identify and promote productive work practices amongst clinical staff.

Although a wide-ranging of participants' views has been studied in this research, the small number of informants may limit the generalization of the results.

As health care organizations have a dynamic nature; further studies of productivity trends and the changing nature of its contributing factors is strongly desirable. Furthermore it is recommended that comparable studies are carried out in different parts of the country together with quantitative studies to reinforce the studies results.

Table 1: Productivity from Iranian nurses view

Quantity	Quality	Prerequisites	Outcome
To be efficient	To be effective	Commitment to organization	Patient satisfaction
To Use of time properly	Providing high quality care	To be conscientious	Personnel satisfaction
Accomplishing all of tasks	To be Usefulness for patients	To be responsible	Organization profit
Doing work correctly	being at the patient bedside (accessibility for patient)	To be careful	
working high ability	Doing right work	To be awareness	
Working with minimum facilities	solving problems of patients		




Diagram 1 : Nurses Productivity and Human Resource

References

1. Eastaugh S: **Hospital Nurse Productivity.**
Journal of Health Care Finance 2002, **29**(1): 14-22.
2. Helmer F, Suver T, James D: **Pictures of Performance: The Key to Improved Nursing Productivity**
Health Care Management Review 1988, **13**(4): 65-70.
3. Hall LM: **Nursing Intellectual Capital: A Theoretical Approach for Analysing Nursing Productivity**
Nursing Economics 2003, **21**(1): 14-19.
4. Houser J: **A Model for Evaluating the Context of Nursing Care Delivery.**
Journal of Nursing Administration (JONA) 2003, **33**(1): 39-47.
5. Mc-Neese-Smith D K: **Staff Nurse View of Their Productivity and Nonproductivity.**
Health care Management Review 2001, **26**(2): 7-19.
6. Holcomb BR, Hoffart N, Fox M: **Nursing Theory and Concept Development or Analysis, Defining and Measuring Nursing Productivity: A Concept Analysis and Pilot Study.**
Journal of Advanced Nursing 2002, **38**(4): 378-386.
7. Moody RC: **Nurse Productivity Measures for the 21st Century.**
Health Care Management Review 2004, **29**(2): 98-106.
8. Williamson J W, Johnston J: **Understanding, Evaluating and Improving Productivity Nursing.**
Nursing Management 1988, **19**(5): 49-52.
9. Beverley K, Kay B: **Manage productivity with HPPD.**
Nursing Management 2003, **34**(1): 47.
10. Vincent O, Ravinder N: **Measuring Productivity: Outcome versus Output.**
Nursing Management 1989, **20** (4).
11. Curtin L L: **Nursing Productivity from Data to Definition**
Nursing Management 1995, **26**(4): 25-32.
12. Varca P, James-Valutis M: **The Relationship of Ability and Satisfaction to Job Performance.**
Applied Psychology: An International Review 1993, **42**: 265-275.
13. Daley D: **Productivity Tools and Employee Incentives: Availability and Associations among North Carolina Municipalities.**
Public Productivity & Management Review 1993, **17**: 161-171.

14. Bartel A P: **Productivity Gains from the Implementation of Employee Training Programs.**
Industrial Relations 1994, **33**: 411-425.
15. McCloskey JC, McCain B: **Variables Related to Job Performance.**
Image 1988, **20**: 203-207.
16. McNeese-Smith DK: **Increasing Employee Productivity, Job Satisfaction, and Organizational Commitment.**
Hospital and Health Services Administration 1996, **41**: 160-175.
17. McNeese-Smith DK: **Job Satisfaction, Productivity, and Organizational Commitment.**
Journal of Nursing Administration 1995, **25**(9): 17-26.
18. Grosskopf S, Margaritis D, Valdmanis V: **The eFFects of teaching on hospital productivity.**
Socio-Economic Planning Sciences 2001, **35**: 189-204.
19. Strauss A, Corbin J:
Basics of Qualitative Research: Grounded Theory Procedures and Techniques, Newbury Park: Sage Publications 1994.
20. Rugkasa J, Knox B , Sittlington J, **Anxious Adults vs. Cool Children: Children's Views on Smoking and Addiction**
Soc. Sci. Med 2001, **53**: 593-602.
21. <http://writing.colostate.edu/index.cfm> **Writing Guides Content Analysis.**
22. Polit D F, Beck C T, Hungler B P:
Essentials of Nursing Research. 5 Edi., Philadelphia: Lippincott Company 2001.
23. Swansburg R C, Swansburg R J:
Introductory Management and Leadership For Nurses 2 Edi. Boston: Jones and Bartlett Publisher 2000.
24. Nursing Professional Advisory Working Group of the JPPC: *MIS: Nursing Resource Consumption*. 1997Aug.
25. Jordan S D: **Nursing Productivity in Rural Hospital.**
Nursing Management 1994, **25**(3): 58-62.
26. Bloom J R, Alexander J A, Beverly A N: **Nurse Staffing Patterns and Hospital Efficiency In The United States.**
Soc.Sci .Med 1997, **44**(2): 147-155.
27. **Quality compensation incentives work when system fosters quality**, in *Health Care Strategic Management* 2001: 15.
28. Huber D:

Leadership and Nursing Care Management. 2 Edi, Philadelphia: Saunders Company 2000.

29. Hall L M, Irvine D D: **A Study of the Impact of Nursing Staff Mix Models and Organizational Change Strategies on Patient, System and Nurse Outcomes.**

University of Toronto, Faculty of Nursing 2001.

30. Walker DA: **Bottom-Line Approach to Nurse Staffing.**

Nursing Management 1996, **27**: 31-32.